

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,128	03/25/2004	Andrej Astachow	071308.0542	7682	
31625 7.	590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			GORMAN, DARREN W		
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT				
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			3752		

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6)
~

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,128	ASTACHOW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Darren W. Gorman	3752	

Potoro the Eiling of an Anneal Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Darren W. Gorman	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	HE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of	-	- 61itibi-b	ania latau da ma				
b) Mean The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mor	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I	be filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	(a).				
AMENDMENTS	and the second s	£20	h				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		1					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 17 does not clearly limit the annular groove to only the portion below the upper limit of the guiding surface as Applicant is suggesting. Furthermore, the reservoir as shown in Figure 3 of Kanesaka, and as applied by the Examiner, is also an annular groove in the top part of the chamber above the upper limit of the guiding surface. In Figure 3 of Kanesaka, the entire reservoir, both above and below the guiding surface, is an annular groove formed within the valve body piece (2), and therefore clearly has a depth of about half the guide length as shown.

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 3700**